1	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER			
2	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113)			
_	AELISH M. BAIG (201279)			
3	SUZANNE H. KAPLAN (247067) 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600			
4	San Francisco, CA 94111			
	Telephone: 415/288-4545			
5	415/288-4534 (fax)			
6	shawnw@csgrr.com abaig@csgrr.com			
	shkaplan@csgrr.com			
7	- and -			
0	TRAVIS E. DOWNS III (148274)			
8	KATHLEEN A. HERKENHOFF (168562) BENNY C. GOODMAN III (211302)			
9	MARY LYNNE CALKINS (212171)	THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.		
	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	ROBERT B. WEISER		
10	San Diego, CA 92101	BRETT D. STECKER		
11	Telephone: 619-231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)	121 N. Wayne Avenue, Suite 100 Wayne, PA 19087		
11	travisd@csgrr.com	Telephone: 610/225-2677		
12	kathyh@csgrr.com	610/225-2678 (fax)		
	bennyg@csgrr.com	rw@weiserlawfirm.com		
13	mcalkins@csgrr.com	bs@weiserlawfirm.com		
14	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs			
15	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	In re ASYST TECHNOLOGIES, INC.) No. C-06-04669-EDL		
* / I)		
	DERIVATIVE LITIGATION)		
18	DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		
		O CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON		
	This Document Relates To:	ONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON OMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE		
		 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
19 20	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED 		
19	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
19 20	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
19 20 21 22	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
19 20 21 22 23	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
19 20 21 22	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
19 20 21 22 23	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
119 220 221 222 223 224	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		
119 220 221 222 23 224 225 226 227	This Document Relates To:	ONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND		
119 220 221 222 223 224 225	This Document Relates To:	 CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND 		

WHEREAS, plaintiff Andrew Anthony Allison filed a Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint ("Allison Complaint"), No. C-08-02512-JCS, which Complaint is related to *In re Asyst Technologies, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, No. C-06-04669-EDL ("Consolidated Litigation"), in that the Allison Complaint arises from the same transactions and events in the Consolidated Litigation and calls for the determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact;

WHEREAS, counsel for the parties believe that, to avoid unnecessary waste of resources, the Allison Complaint should be consolidated with, and into, the Consolidated Litigation pursuant to the terms of the September 18, 2006 Order Consolidating Cases for All Purposes, Appointing Lead Plaintiffs and Co-Lead Counsel, and Setting Schedule for Filing of Consolidated Complaint ("Consolidation Order");

WHEREAS, on May 23, 2008, the Court issued an Order granting leave to amend the Consolidated Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint; and

WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendants, after meeting and conferring, agree that a schedule for the filing of an Amended Consolidated Complaint and defendants' responses thereto will provide judicial economy.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES that:

- 1. The Allison Complaint shall be consolidated with, and into, the Consolidated Litigation pursuant to the terms of the Consolidation Order.
- 2. The briefing and hearing schedule currently in place for the Amended Consolidated Complaint shall be extended by four weeks.
- 3. Plaintiffs shall, no later than June 26, 2008, file and serve an Amended Consolidated Complaint which will supersede all existing complaints filed in these actions. Defendants need not respond to any of the pre-existing complaints. Service, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of any of the pre-existing complaints on any of the defendants, or their counsel, shall constitute sufficient service on that defendant. Service shall be effected with respect to any defendant named in any of the pre-existing complaints by serving the Amended Consolidated Complaint on that defendant or that defendant's counsel.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ı	

4. Each defendant in any of the pre-existing complaints shall answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Consolidated Complaint no later than July 17, 2008. In the event that defendants file and serve any motion directed at the Amended Consolidated Complaint, plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition by August 7, 2008. If defendants file and serve a reply to plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so by August 19, 2008. The hearing on these issues shall be set for September 9, 2008, or other date the Court deems appropriate.

5. Notwithstanding any provision herein, defendants may respond to the Amended Consolidated Complaint in any manner provided by law or statute. Accordingly, the defendants by and through their counsel of record as designated below have reserved all of their defenses and objections to the Amended Consolidated Complaint, including any defense based upon lack of personal jurisdiction.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: May 28, 2008

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP TRAVIS E. DOWNS III KATHLEEN A. HERKENHOFF BENNY C. GOODMAN III MARY LYNNE CALKINS

> ______/s/ TRAVIS E. DOWNS III

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

1 COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER 2 **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP** SHAWN A. WILLIAMS 3 AELISH M. BAIG SUZANNE H. KAPLAN 4 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 5 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax) 6 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C. 7 ROBERT B. WEISER BRETT D. STECKER 8 121 N. Wayne Avenue, Suite 100 Wayne, PA 19087 9 Telephone: 610/225-2677 610/225-2678 (fax) 10 Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs 11 I, Travis E. Downs III, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Consolidating Related Actions, Appointing Lead Plaintiff and Co-Lead Counsel, and Setting Schedule for Filing of Consolidated Complaint and Defendants' 13 Response Thereto. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Marc M. Umeda has concurred in this filing. 14 DATED: May 28, 2008 ROBBINS UMEDA & FINK LLP 15 MARC M. UMEDA **BRIAN J. ROBBINS** 16 ASHLEY R. PALMER 17 18 /s/MARC M. UMEDA 19 610 West Ash Street, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 20 Telephone: 619/525-3990 619/525-3991 (fax) 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff Andrew Anthony Allison 22 23 I, Travis E. Downs III, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Consolidating Related Actions, Appointing Lead Plaintiff and Co-Lead Counsel, and Setting Schedule for Filing of Consolidated Complaint and Defendants' Response Thereto. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Douglas J. Clark 25 has concurred in this filing. 26 27 28

Case 3:06-cv-04669-EDL Document 71 Filed 05/30/08 Page 5 of 7

DATED: May 28, 2008 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH 1 & ROSATI, P.C. 2 DOUGLAS J. CLARK LEO P. CUNNINGHAM 3 DYLAN J. LIDDIARD 4 5 DOUGLAS J. CLARK 6 650 Page Mill Road 7 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: 650/493-9300 8 650/493-6811 (fax) 9 Attorneys for Defendants Stephen S. Schwartz, Robert J. Nickl, Anthony C. Bonora, Stanley J. 10 Grubel, Tsuyoshi Kawanishi, Robert A. McNamara, Anthony E. Santelli, William Simon, 11 Walter W. Wilson, James E. Springgate, Mihir Parikh, Ashok K. Sinha, P. Jackson Bell, Warren 12 C. Kocmond, Jr., Steve Debenham, and Thomas Waechter, and Nominal Defendant Asyst 13 Technologies, Inc. 14 15 16 ORDER 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. May 29, 2008 18 DATED: Judge Elizabeth D. Laporto THE HON METH D. LAPORTE 19 UNITED ST VIAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 T:\CasesSF\Asyst Derivative\S_O00051577_LD Consol.doc 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL 1 2 I, the undersigned, declare: 3 1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States and employed in the City and County of San Francisco, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to 5 or interested party in the within action; that declarant's business address is 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600, San Francisco, California 94111. 6 7 That on May 28, 2008, declarant served the **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]** 2. ORDER CONSOLIDATING THE ALLISON COMPLAINT AND SETTING SCHEDULE 8 9 FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEFENDANTS' **RESPONSE THERETO** by depositing a true copy thereof in a United States mailbox at San 10 Francisco, California in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the 11 12 parties listed on the attached Service List. 13 3. That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the places so addressed. 14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 28th 15 16 day of May, 2008, at San Francisco, California. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Mailing Information for a Case 3:06-cv-04669-EDL

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• Douglas John Clark dclark@wsgr.com

• Leo Patrick Cunningham

lcunningham@wsgr.com

• Travis E. Downs, III

travisd@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com

• Benny Copeline Goodman, III

bennyg@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com

• Kathleen Ann Herkenhoff

kathyh@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com

• Christopher R. Howald

chowald@wsgr.com

• Suzanne Heald Kaplan

shkaplan@csgrr.com

• William S. Lerach

e_file_sf@lerachlaw.com

• Dylan James Liddiard

dliddiard@wsgr.com,pmarquez@wsgr.com

• Maria V. Morris

mmorris@rbg-law.com

• Shawn A. Williams

shawnw@csgrr.com,travisd@csgrr.com,moniquew@csgrr.com,e_file_sf@csgrr.com,cwood@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@cs

• Monique C. Winkler

shawnw@csgrr.com,travisd@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com,E_File_SF@csgrr.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Darren Jay Robbins

Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101